1	Bingham McCutchen LLP DAVID M. BALABANIAN (SBN 37368)		
2	CHRISTOPHER B. HOCKETT (SBN 121539)		
3	JOY K. FUYUNO (SBN 193890) Three Embarcadero Center		
4	San Francisco, CA 94111-4067 Telephone: (415) 393-2000		
5	Facsimile: (415) 393-2286		
6	Attorneys for Defendant Intel Corporation		
7			
8	UNITED STATES DI	STRICT COURT	
9	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
10	SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION		
11			
12	LAWRENCE LANG, on behalf of himself and	No. C-05-2957-MHP	
13	all others similarly situated,	STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED]	
14	Plaintiff, v.	ORDER TO CONTINUE FILING DATE FOR DEFENDANT'S RESPONSE TO	
15	INTEL CORPORATION, a Delaware corporation,	PLAINTIFF'S COMPLAINT	
16 17	Defendant.		
18	IT IS STIPULATED BY AND BET	WEEN THE PARTIES, THROUGH THEIR	
19 20	COUNSEL AS FOLLOWS:		
20	Pursuant to Civil Local Rule 6-2, Plaintiff Lawrence Lang and Defendant Intel		
21	Corporation hereby stipulate that Intel Corporation's response to Plaintiff's complaint shall be		
22	due either 60 days after transfer of the above captioned case pursuant to any motion to coordina		
23	or consolidate pre-trial proceedings per 28 U.S.C. Section 1407 or, in the alternative, 45 days		
24	after any such motion has been denied. The parties request this extension of time to answer or		
<b>25</b>	otherwise respond because the plaintiffs in <i>Brauch</i> , et al. v. Intel Corp., No. C 05-2743 (BZ)		
26			

## Cased 3065cv.002957JJJJFHP Doborcemente 11458 FFFeld 008/11/17/2/2006 Plage 22:0683

1	(N.D. Cal., filed July 5, 2005), a related matter, have filed a petition to coordinate or consolidate			
2	pre-trial proceedings per 28 U.S.C. Section 1407, and the above-styled action has been identified			
3	as a related action to that petition. As a result the outcome of the pending petition will impact			
4	significantly the schedule of this case.			
5	This is the first stipulation between the parties. Because this litigation has just			
6	begun, granting such a stipulation will not have any negative impact on the schedule of this case			
7	II IS HERED I STILLED.			
8	DATED: August 11, 2005			
9		Bingham McCutchen LLP		
10				
11		D	/_/ I I/_ F	
12		By:	JOY K. FUYUNO	
13			Attorneys for Defendant Intel Corporation	
14	DATED: August 16, 2005			
15		Trump A	liata Trump and Prescatt	
16		Trump, Alioto, Trump and Prescott		
17				
18		By:	/s/ Mario N. Alioto	
19			MARIO N. ALIOTO Attorneys for Plaintiff	
20			Lawrence Lang	
21				
22				
23				
24				
25				
26				
		2		

1			
2	[PROPOSED] ORDER TO CONTINUE DEFENDANT'S RESPONSE DATE		
3	IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that Defendant Intel Corporation's response to		
4	Plaintiff's complaint shall be due either 60 days after transfer of the above captioned case		
5	pursuant to any motion to coordinate or consolidate pre-trial proceedings per 28 U.S.C. Section		
6	1407, or, in the alternative, 45 days after any such motion has been denied.		
7	PURSUANT TO STIPULATION, IT IS SO ORDERED.		
8	Dated: August, 2005		
9			
10	Honorable Marilyn H. Patel United States District Judge		
11			
12			
13			
14			
15			
16			
17			
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24 25			
25 26			
<b>∠</b> U	3		